## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		)
	Plaintiff,	) 8:10CR307 )
	vs.	) ) DETENTION ORDER
MA	ARTIN TIRADO-ROMAN,	) }
	Defendant.	<b>,</b>
A.	Order For Detention After waiving a detention hearing pursuant Act on August 26, 2010, the Court order pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).	to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform s the above-named defendant detained
B.	<ul> <li>Statement Of Reasons For The Detention         The Court orders the defendant's detention because it finds:         X             By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.             X             By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person or the community.     </li> </ul>	
C.	distribute methamphetan 846 and the possession v (Count II) both carry imprisonment and a maxi (b) The offense is a crime of (c) The offense involves a na	nd includes the following: e offense charged: to distribute and possess with intent to nine (Count I) in violation of 21 U.S.C. § with intent to distribute methamphetamine a minimum sentence of five years mum of forty years imprisonment. violence.
	may affect wheth  X The defendant h  X The defendant h  X The defendant is  The defendant of ties.  Past conduct of the defendant h  Court proceeding	ppears to have a mental condition which her the defendant will appear. as no family ties in the area. as no steady employment. as no substantial financial resources. not a long time resident of the community. loes not have any significant community the defendant: as a history relating to drug abuse. as a history relating to alcohol abuse. as a significant prior criminal record. has a prior record of failure to appear at

penalty of 10 years or more; or  (4) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release.  X (b) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe:	DETENTION ORDER -	Page 2
release are as follows: The nature of the charges in the Indictment.  X (5) Rebuttable Presumptions In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:  X (a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:  (1) A crime of violence; or (2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or X (3) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or (4) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release.  X (b) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe:	(c)	Parole Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence.  Other Factors: X The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation. The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted.  X The Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement (BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal.
In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:  X (a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:  (1) A crime of violence; or (2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or  X (3) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or (4) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release.  X (b) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe:		
substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more.	In dete on the 3142(e <u>X</u> (a)	rmining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § s) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:  That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:

## D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and

## **DETENTION ORDER - Page 3**

- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: August 26, 2010. BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge